• AO 472 (Rev. 12/03) Order of Detentio 2:13-Cr-20369-BA	on Pending Trial F-MKM-Doc#5 Filed 05/03/13 Pg 1 of 3 Pg ID 16
Z:10 0/ 20003 D/(	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Eastern	Digtains
UNITED STATES OF A	MERICA Michigan
John Robert  Defendant	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL Case Number: 12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
detention of the defendant pending trial i	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
(1) The defendant is charged with a or local offense that would have a crime of violence as defin an offense for which the ma an offense for which a maximum transport of the offense described in finding (2) The offense described in finding (3) A period of not more than five ye for the offense described in finding (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) estain safety of (an) other person(s) and (1) There is probable cause to believe for which a maximum term of the probable cause to believe the probable cause to be probable cause to believe the probable cause to be probable cause to	Part I—Findings of Fact an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state to been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is tend in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).  aximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. Impuration of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
(1) There is a serious risk that the dec	
Pa I find that the credible testimony and info erance of the evidence that	art II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention ormation submitted at the hearing establishes by Clear and convincing evidence  a prepon-
The defendant is committed to the custody of the extent practicable, from persons awaiting sonable opportunity for private consultation wernment, the person in charge of the correct connection with a court proceeding.  Date	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, and or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a move the with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the move the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
	Signature of Judge U.S. Magistrate Judge Mona K. Majzoub

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## John Robert Davis Order of Detention

This is a presumption case. Defendant is 42 years old, single, and according to Defendant's girlfriend he has one child with her, and six other children born to other women. Defendant stated in his interview with Pretrial Services that he has no children. He has lived on Plainview with his girlfriend for two years, and before that was incarcerated at the MDOC from June 2010 until 2011 when he was released. Defendant's father lives in Detroit, and his mother and sister live in Georgia.

Defendant admits that he has no employment history of any consequence. He has no assets or monthly financial liabilities. He receives \$200 monthly from the state of Michigan for food in the form of a bridge card.

Defendant admits to smoking marijuana since the age of 17, and last smoked the morning of his arrest. He declined to submit to a drug test.

Defendant's criminal history includes 8 criminal convictions which begin at age 19 and are interrupted only by periods of incarceration. Specifically the convictions span acts of serious violence, felony weapons, felony home invasion 3<sup>rd</sup> degree (where he held a loaded gun in the face of a 6 year old child while his accomplices robbed her father of the \$20 he had on his person in his home).

The instant charges stem from Defendant's involvement in a conspiracy to rob a drug house of money proceeds. An undercover agent was involved. Defendant and his co-conspirators were planning to kill everyone in the drug house. Defendant is caught on tape making his intentions known to his co-conspirators. The plan then changed and Defendant decided to kill the drug courier (who was an undercover agent, a fact not known to the defendant at the time). Defendant and his co-defendants were arrested at a warehouse as they prepared to change into police clothing that was bought at an army re-sale shop so that they could pose as police officers as they gained entry to the drug house and carried out their mission to rob and kill.

Defendant argues that the whole plan and set up was pretext, not based in reality, and that therefore his client was enticed and ensnared by the government to prepare to commit a crime that never was going to take place. Defendant argues that because there were no drugs and no robbery, that Defendant does not pose a danger to society. Defendant asks for a bond, is willing to wear a tether, and states that he has employment available to him at a construction company.

Defendant was discharged from parole on March 7, 2013 and no sooner than April 18, 2013, five weeks later, Defendant became involved with what he thought would be a major robbery of a drug house where he intended to kill the occupants inside.

Pretrial Services finds that Defendant is a risk of flight based upon his substance abuse history, his lack of verifiable employment, the pending charges and his long criminal history.

Pretrial Services finds that Defendant poses a risk of danger due to the nature of the instant offense, Defendant's substance abuse history, his history of violent behaviors and crimes, and his general criminal history.

Defendant has failed to rebut the presumption of detention.

This Court concurs and finds that Defendant poses a danger to society and is a risk of flight for the reasons cited by Pretrial Services and the reasons cited above. There is no condition or combination of conditions that would assure either Defendant's appearance in Court or the safety of the community. Therefore Detention is Ordered.